

REMARKS

Applicant timely filed an Amendment on January 13, 2012, in response to the Office Action dated September 13, 2011. Further, Applicant gratefully appreciates the courtesy extended during a telephone interview on January 25, 2012. It is respectfully requested that the claims of the instant application be further amended to further clarify the recited invention.

Claims 6, 8-14, 17-22, 24-27, 29, 47-50 and 55-59 were pending in this application prior to the instant amendment. By this amendment, claims 6, 12, 18 and 24 are amended, claims 55, 57 and 59-61 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter has been added. Thus, claims 6, 8-14, 17-22, 24-27, 29 and 47-50 remain currently pending for consideration.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 18, 19, 22 and 49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,471,225 to Parks ("Parks"), in view of Japanese Pat. App. Pub. No. JP 58-143389 to Hoshi ("Hoshi"). Claims 6, 8, 11, 47 and 55-59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,325,338 to Runaldue et al. ("Runaldue"), and further in view of Hoshi. Claims 9 and 10 stand rejected under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks in view of Runaldue, and further in view of Hoshi and U.S. Patent No. 5,196,839 to Johary et al. ("Johary"). Claims 12-14, 17, 20, 21, 24-27, 29, 48 and 50 stand rejected under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks in view of Hoshi, and further in view of Johary. These rejections are traversed for at least the reasons advanced in detail below.

Although Applicant does not necessarily agree with the Examiner in these regards, Applicant herein amends independent claim 6 to recite, *inter alia*; "at least two voltage source lines electrically connected to said memory circuit wherein the pair of inverters are connected between the two voltage source lines" and "different voltages supplied to the two voltage source lines are applied to said pixel electrode through the pair of inverters based on the information stored by the corresponding memory circuit." Similarly, independent claims 12, 18 and 24 are amended to recite, *inter alia*; "different voltages supplied to the two voltage source lines are applied to said pixel electrode based on the information stored by the corresponding memory circuit."

Applicant continues to contend that Parks, Hoshi, Runaldue and/or Johary, taken alone or in combination, fail to disclose, suggest or render obvious independent claims 6, 12, 18, 24, particularly in light of the above features. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 6, 12, 18 and 24. The rejection of dependent claims 8-11, 13, 14, 17, 19-22, 25-27, 29, 47-50 is believed to be improper at least by

virtue of their dependency on claims 6, 12, 18, 24. The rejection of claims 55, 57 and 59-61 is rendered moot by virtue of their cancellation herein.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the instant application. If a conference would be helpful in expediting prosecution of the instant application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to arrange such a conference.

It is believed that no fees are due with this filing, as it is merely supplemental to the timely filed Amendment of January 13, 2012. Nevertheless, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees that may be required for this submission, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-2380.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON PEABODY, LLP

/Jeffrey L. Costellia, Reg.#35,483/

Jeffrey L. Costellia

Registration No. 35,483

NIXON PEABODY LLP

CUSTOMER NO.: 22204

401 9th Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, DC 20004

Tel: 202-585-8000